UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON DC

7007 JAK -9 P : 5

In the Matter of

JOAQUIN RODRIGUEZ

CP05S00049

DMS: FAA 2005-22885-15

United States Federal District Court Central Islip, New York

Tuesday, October 24, 2006

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

HONORABLE ISAAC D. BENKIN Administrative Judge

DEPARTMENT OF

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES:

GERALD A. ELLIS Attorney for FAA FAA Southern Region ASO-7 P O Box 20636 Atlanta, GA 30320

JACK GROEN, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent 100 West Broadway Suite 7 Long Beach, New York 11561

RECEIVED

NOV 14 2006 HEARING DOCKET

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
S Okon	18	31	44	44
EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTIO	N ID	EVD
1		Report	20	21 ·
2		Report	20	21
3		Report	20	21
4		Report	20	21

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: We're here this morning to
3	conduct the administrative hearing in the matter of
4	Joaguin Rodriguez, FAA docket CP 05S00049.
5	I note for the record that counsel for the
6	FAA is here, but there is no appearances for the
7	Respondent.
8	Mr. Ellis, have you heard from this
9	gentlemen.
10	MR. ELLIS: I have not your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you what I am
12	going to do. I am going to wait until a quarter after.
13	If he does not appear by then, you will need to put on
14	your prima facie case on the issue of the amount that
15	should assessed against him and we'll conclude the
16	hearing that way and I will announce my initial decision
17	from right we're I am sitting now.
18	So, let's stand easy and be in recess until
19	10:15.
20	MR. ELLIS: Yes, your Honor.
21	(Recess Taken)
22	THE COURT: Back on the record. Mr. Groen,

	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
1	I understand you want to say something to me. Do you
2	want to say it on the record or off the record?
3	MR. GROEN: May I approach?
4	The court: Sure. Come on up Mr. Ellis. You
5	can't talk to me in private Mr. Groen. Those are the
6	rules. Say what you need to say.
7	MR. GROEN: After several efforts to contact
8	Mr. Rodriguez I have not been successful. His situation,
9	as I previous intimated, is some what difficult. He is
10	no longer living at the residence he is at.
11	I did stop there. I spoke with his life
12	partner, the lady he has cohabitated with for over
13	eight years. I know him as a neighbor and friend.
14	THE COURT: You have to speak up.
15	MR. GROEN: She had produced two certified
16	cards from the Court and said I don't know what to do
17	with them I think I'll throw them out.
18	I said that's not the right thing to do;
19	you need to hold on to them. It seems to be a problem.
20	The last time the certified letters did not get to Mr.
21	Rodriguez and he did not have notice last time to
- 1	

prepare and submit his answer in a timely manner.

THE COURT: We have been through this 1 2 before with Mr Rodriguez. The chief judge issued an order which told him, in no uncertain terms that he had 3 an obligation to keep our office and Mr. Ellis's office 4 5 advised of an address to which mail could be sent. MR. GROEN: He has done the best he can 6 7 under the circumstances he finds himself in. And my 8 suggestion he actually got a P O Box that should have 9 been in the paperwork submitted to the Court. I think 10 Mr. Ellis may have gotten a copy of that. So he had 11 complete control over that source of mail. 12 I don't believe he received any 13 correspondence to that post office box. They continue to go to the residence where I believe his life partner 14 15 continues to depose of them because they are in an 16 adversarial situation. 17 The only person that has a contact number 18 for Mr. Rodriguez would be that lady and that would be 19 Mrs. Rodriguez's mother in Miami and I think the only 20 place he can be now. 21 I have several calls into her to produce 22 that telephone number so I can communicate with him and

1	apprise him of the fact he needed been here today. She
2	has not been forthcoming with that information because I
3	mentioned he is a neighbor of mine. I am willing
4	THE COURT: If he is a neighbor of yours
5	you could have gone over and knocked on his door.
6	MR. GROEN: I have done that actually. There
7	are circumstances that are difficult. She works two jobs
8	and is home five, six hours a day and home during the
9	night. I have written her and left notes on the door and
10	have not gotten response.
11	I don't think she wants to do anything to
12	help Mr. Rodriguez because the situation is adversarial.
13	I'll press upon her the importance of producing
14	information so he could be here. What I would like to do
15	is get a short term adjournment. I'll do everything
16	shorts of a Herculean effort to get in touch with him so
17	he could be here on behalf of this and I'll do
18	everything I can. I think that is only appropriate and
19	proper.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Ellis?
21	MR. ELLIS: Obviously as you mentioned your
22	Honor we have been through this before with Mr.

1	Rodriguez. It's an on going issue. The issue of his
2	place of address service issues has been an established.
3	The U. S. Government has to spend a great deal of money
4	to defend these cases. Mr. Rodriguez has been given
5	opportunity to appear. We believe under the
6	circumstances he has waived that opportunity and that we
7	should proceed, today.
8	THE COURT: Let me look at the
9	correspondence from him. 525 Boxwood Drive, North
10	Shirley, New York is that where this lady you have been
11	talking about lives?
12	2 MR. GROEN: Correct.
13	THE COURT: In June, he sent some mail to
14	the chief judge from actually sent a Federal Express
15	package to the chief judge and that's the return address
16	it had on it. Let me see if
17	MR. GROEN: In that correspondence, if I
18	may, would be the information identifying the fact that
19	he was going to secure a P O box specifically for this
20	purpose.
I	
21	THE COURT: You may have another

envelope, and its says in accordance with the order of Chief Administrative Law Judge Rhonny A. Yoder (ph) served May 16, respondent provides the following information: One, respondent has secured a post office box for any and all correspondence to this matter. The post office box is 772 Yaphank, New York. 11980, or 525 Box Wood Drive, Shirley, New York. 11967. Then it gives a phone number which he can be contacted 631-775-0836.

MR. GROEN: That's the number I have been living messages at. The 0836 is the number and 525 Boxwood Drive; also where his former life partner lives and where the information has been, I am sure, routinely deposed of and he has not had notice. That has been a problem.

If I may, my suggestion to Mr. Rodriguez since he going through a difficult time, not only financially, having been unemployed for the past two years but I believe he's in a severe depressed state of mind. My suggestion to him is was that he get some counseling. I believe that is the core of the problem and the reason we have been together here today is a manifestation of that problem.

As far as suggesting that I would refer him to three people for psychological evaluation to help him, he seemed to be receptive to that, unfortunately, since that time I have not been able to communicate with him.

He is experiencing what I think three and a half out of five persons do throughout their life time is some type depression from mild to severe and that, of course, is making things much more difficult for him to get up for the circumstances today. But in no way am I suggesting that he is intentionally avoiding the Court or his responsibility. He has been clear about that with me in my prior conversations.

THE COURT: Here's the problem. Mr. Ellis is quite right. It costs a lot of money to conduct these hearings. And both he and I have to travel on the tax payers nickel; in addition the witnesses have to be brought here. On the other hand, I would like this fellow to have a chance to be heard.

So, what I am going to do, very regretfully, is let Mr. Ellis put in his case now, and then adjourn this case to another day; give him full and

NEAL R. GROSS

	1
1	fair notice of it sent to the post office box. You do
2	your best to tell him what the date is, and we'll see if
3	he shows up.
4	Do you have any idea where he might be
5	geographically?
6	MR. GROEN: Yes, it is only an idea your
7	Honor
8	THE COURT: Is he on the Island?
9	MR. GROEN: Although I am not certain I do
10	know his mother resides in Miami, Florida. Because he is
11	without any funds at all, and regretfully, I have to say
12	he has been cutting lawns in the neighborhood to make
13	what money he can, that's the situation he has found
14	himself in financially. My best guess is that if he has
15	gone somewhere where he has some sort of shelter it
16	would be Miami with his mother.
17	THE COURT: I can't schedule a hearing in
18	Miami on that basis.
19	MR. GROEN: I understand. The number I am
20	pressing my life partner for I know she has, if not on
21	telephone records, certainly in her phone book is his
22	mother's number so I can communicate with him.

1 That's my best educated guess as to where I 2 am going to be able to find Mr. Rodriguez. Short of her 3 being difficult because of their personal issues and 4 problems, I would like to think that she would produce a number that I could at least communicate with him. 5 6 THE COURT: Well, I am going to set the 7 resumed hearing for the middle of December. And I am going to set it for here. That's the best I can do. 8 9 Mr. Ellis, I know that makes you unhappy 10 because you are going to have to come back here. 11 But, in this case, I would like to give the 12 man his hearing. And the fact is that he did give us his 13 post office box and we did not send the notice to the 14 post office box. Now if he's in, Miami, I don't know 15 whether selling mail to the post office box in Yaphank, New York is going to help. But we will do that. 16 MR. ELLIS: Actually your Honor, I remember 17 18 receiving the letter where he gave us his P O Box. I am 19 not sure that I didn't send everything I issued to that 20 post office. I believe I would have, if that's what he 21 said in his response.

THE COURT:

22

Well, Mr. Ellis, if you did, I

1	appreciate it. Your office staff is more responsive
2	then mine is; but the key document is the notice of the
3	hearing. And I am rather sure that was not sent there.
4	So we're going to go around again.
5	MR. ELLIS: One issue I might bring up to
6	the Court, I merely mention this because service has
7	become an issue. That is the issue of whether Mr.
8	Rodriguez is a United States citizen or not. Because if
9	an individual cannot be located and an order is issued
10	by the Court eventually it has to go to collection and
11	it will never be collected if the individual is not a US
12	citizen.
13	I don't know if his attorney can talk about
L4	that or not?
15	MR. GROEN: Certainly not. I can testify to
16	the best of my knowledge he is US citizen. I have no
L7	reason to believe he is not. I know he has been
L8	previously employed at the veterans hospital for years.
L9	THE COURT: He couldn't be if he were an
20	illegal alien.
21	MR. GROEN: It is in Northport. Based on
22	that I am reasonably sure and comfortable stating he is

US citizen.

THE COURT: Here we are again. The problem the man has is that if he does not have the wherewithal to pay the assessments that arises out of this case, that is, in itself, a potential mitigating circumstance.

But I can decide this and the administrator can decide that based only on a record. And we have no record.

In the notice of the hearing, he was told to produce all the documentary evidence that he wanted to submit and send a copy to me and a copy to Mr. Ellis, and tell us what witnesses he wanted to put on. He didn't respond to that.

As I say, I am going to issue one more notice and I am going to ask him in that notice, to let us know whether he is going to appear. If he does not respond to that, I am going to close the record and assess whatever civil penalty is appropriate based on the material Mr. Ellis is going to put before me today.

MR. ELLIS: So, my continued attempt at communicating with Mr. Rodriguez has to be prior to the response stated in the paperwork forthcoming.

NEAL R. GROSS

1 THE COURT: That's right. And the date of the hearing will probably be in the middle of December 2 3 or around December 15th, as I look at my calendar. And 4 he's going to have to respond by November 15th. So, it would be approximately 5 MR. GROEN: 30 days or so from the date of the notice that Mr. 6 7 Rodriguez receives that he has to respond. THE COURT: It would be less than 30 days, 8 9 two weeks. MR. ELLIS: We also filed discovery 10 11 independently of the court to Mr. Rodriguez as to which 12 he didn't respond. I assumed you did. Let's see 13 THE COURT: what happens, Mr. Ellis. As I say, the right to a 14 15 hearing is one of my responsibilities, and I want to 16 give the man that right in actuality, and not because, 17 and not deny it to him, because mail was sent to the 18 wrong address. 19 MR. GROEN: May I make a request that this 20 notice is sent, if its sent in addition to the P O box 21 that it is also sent to 525 Boxwood Drive only because 22 that is no more than a hundred or so steps from my door,

1	and I will continue to communicate with the people who
2	reside there.
3	THE COURT: Would you be good enough before
4	you leave today to give me a copy of your card and write
5	your home address on it.
6	MR. ELLIS: I would prefer to address these
7	materials to his attorney as well. I prefer to address
8	materials in this matter from this date forward to Mr.
9	Groen as well since he is now representing.
10	THE COURT: I would do that.
11	MR. GROEN: I would appreciate that then I
12	will have notice otherwise I might not.
13	THE COURT: I recommend you do that. Okay
14	gentlemen. Mr. Ellis, you may yes, sir.
15	MR. GROEN: One other question. The purpose
16	of our hearing today was to assess damage.
17	THE COURT: The amount of the civil
18	forfeiture. The question whether he is liable has
19	already been decided.
20	MR. GROEN: What information would the
21	Court suggest I produce if I can secure from Mr.
22	Rodriguez in addition to his presence here that would
- 1	1

1	establish what his present financial situation that
2	would assist the Court on damages?
3	THE COURT: Normally what the FAA asks for
4	on that subject is tax returns.
5	In addition, if he has W-2 forms, that
6	would be appropriate. If he has any documentation for
7	his income, that would be appropriate. And his own
8	testimony.
9	MR. GROEN: We may have to rely on his
10	testimony. Over the past two years his income has been
11	negligible. I am not sure whether he made filings as a
12	result of that. We will bring what we can to produce for
13	the Court. Thank you, your Honor. Thank you Mr. Ellis.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Ellis?
15	MR. ELLIS: The FAA calls first I think
16	actually I would like to do a short opening.
17	THE COURT: Sure. You want to make an
18	opening statement? First enter your appearance.
19	MR. ELLIS: Actually I don't need the
20	opening.
21	THE COURT: We all know who you are but
22	MR. ELLIS: Gerald Ellis, I represent the

1	FAA and my office is P O Box 206360, Atlanta, Georgia.
2	If you need further information I can provide it,
3	telephone, fax number
4	THE COURT: That's fine Mr. Ellis we know
5	where to find you.
6	MR. ELLIS: The FAA calls its first witness
7	Stanley Okon.
8	Whereupon,
9	STANLEY OKON,
10	after having been first duly sworn, was examined and
11	testified as follows:
12	EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLIS:
13	Q. Please state of your name for the record.
14	A. Stanley Okon.
15	Q. For the record I am going to ask you some
16	background questions.
17	Could you please tell the Court a little
18	bit about your education and military back ground?
19	A. I am a college graduate, bachelor of
20	science degree; major in chemistry, minor in
21	mathematics. Navy commander, navy pilot, flying P-3's.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Okon, you need to tell us

1	what you are	e doing now.
2		MR. ELLIS: I will get to that.
3	Q.	How long have you been with FAA?
4	Α.	I have been in flight standards for
5	25 years.	
6	Q.	What jobs have you held with the FAA?
7	Α.	During that time I have been geographic
8	inspector, c	perations 122 (ph) air carrier. I have beer
9	principal in	spector on 521 (ph)airlines.
10	Q.	Tell what airlines that is?
11	Α.	That is major air carriers like American
12	Airlines, Un	ited Delta the major air carriers in the
13	United State	s.
14	Q.	What your current title?
15	Α.	I am sorry?
16	Q.	What is your current title?
17	Α.	Geographic inspector right now. Based in
18	Orlando Flor	ida.
19	Q.	How did you become involved with this
20	incident?	
21	Α.	I was notified of the incident through the
22	normal chann	els within the FAA we have a system where
	1	

1	all instances are reported and on a daily basis forward
2	to the geographic areas where these instances have
3	occurred. And I was notified of this instance because
4	the aircraft landed in Orlando.
5	Q. For the record, I am showing the Court what
6	has been marked as Government's Exhibits One, Two and
7	Three together.
8	(Govermentment Exhibits Nos. 1-4 were so
9	marked for identification.)
10	THE COURT: You need to give the reporter a
11	copy. Are you identifying one, two and three?
12	MR. ELLIS: Yes.
13	Q. Do you recognize these documents?
14	Do you recognize these documents?
15	MR. ELLIS: Actually Exhibits One, Two,
16	Three and Four, your Honor.
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	Q. Can you tell the Court what Government
19	Exhibits One through Three are?
20	A. They are signed statements along with the
21	Notice of Investigation of the individual of this
22	incident. These were forwarded to me through the

1	principal inspector for Southwest Airlines on request
2	from the airlines to provide all witness statements et
3	cetera that had knowledge of this event.
4	Q. I would like to offer Government's Exhibits
5	One through Three and Government Exhibit Four into
6	evidence.
7	THE COURT: Let's first identify them.
8	Government Exhibit One is an in flight
9	irregularity report, signed by Chris Watson.
10	Government Exhibit Two, is an in flight
11	irregularity report signed by Daniel Schnebelen. And
12	I'll say for the record although the record refers to a
13	police statement attached to it, the police statement is
14	not, in fact, attached to Government Exhibit Two.
15	Government Exhibit Three, is an in flight
16	irregularity record, signed by Amy Leputa.
17	And then finally, Government Exhibit Four,
18	for identification is a letter dated May 24, 2005 signed
19	by Inspector, Stan Okon, addressed to Mr. Joaquin
20	Rodriguez in North Shirley, New York. Those documents
21	will be received in evidence.

(Government Exhibits Nos. 1-4 were so.

1	Marked and received in evidence.)
2	Q. A couple of brief questions about those
3	documents.
4	I believe you touched on it before. Can
5	you please tell the Court again the source of these
6	documents?
7	A. These documents are forwarded to me through
8	the principal office inspector who is assigned
9	surveillance over Southwest Airlines. The principal
10	inspector for Southwest Airlines. He notifies the
11	carrier of the requirement to have these documents
12	concerning this incident forwarded to me. That's how I
13	received it.
14	MR. ELLIS: Do you have a question, your
15	Honor?
16	THE COURT: No.
17	MR. ELLIS: You looked inquisitive.
18	THE COURT: I am sorry. I am just
19	focusing, that's all.
20	Q. I have one question about Exhibit Four.
21	Your letter to Mr. Rodriguez?
22	A. Yes.

1	Q. Do you recall Mr. Rodriguez responding to
2	that letter?
3	A. That is negative. I did not get response
4	from him.
5	THE COURT: You said you did not get
6	response.
7	THE WITNESS: Did not.
8	Q. Are you aware that the FAA proposes
9	penalties of 7300 dollars in this case?
10	A. I am aware of that.
11	Q. Under the facts and circumstances of this
12	case to your knowledge do you support that penalty?
13	A. Based on what took place on that aircraft
14	it is fully justified.
15	MR. ELLIS: All right. That is basically our
16	case and the evidence we would rely on. I could
17	elaborate on some of the information in the reports only
18	in closing, however.
19	THE COURT: You don't need to elaborate for
20	my purposes. How did you calculate \$7,300?
21	THE WITNESS: The guidance for this is in
22	FAA order 2130.3 which gives guidance how the fines are

1 to be assessed. That order was initially issued in 1988. 2 Since then, the order has been amended to 3 warrant fines up to \$25,000 which I have assessed. And 4 based on what took place on the aircraft I felt it 5 didn't warrant the maximum penalty to be imposed. And I 6 felt that the penalty that was imposed was justified 7 under the circumstances. 8 If the pilot had deviated the aircraft to 9 have Mr. Rodriguez removed, the cost of that deviation 10 would have been borne by Mr. Rodriguez and it would have 11 been in the tens of thousands of dollars. So I feel this 12 is justified under the circumstances. It could have been a lot more if it had gotten any worst then it did. 13 THE COURT: How long did the incident last? 14 15 Evidently it occurred, the THE WITNESS: 16 disruption started almost immediately once Mr. Rodriguez boarded the aircraft here in Islip. And it continued 17 throughout pretty much the flight until he was removed 18 19 and put in the back of the airplane until it landed in 20 Orlando, the duration. 21 THE COURT: We have one of the attendants saying that after he threatened her, or him, I forgot 22

1	which one it was, and threw the bag at her, he came back
2	to the galley and apologized profusely.
3	This must have been some time after which
4	he sobered up and figured out that he was in trouble.
5	Do you give him any credit for that?
6	THE WITNESS: Well, part of that could be
7	in the issue I chose not to go to maximum penalty. Most
8	of the time our understanding is when we do maximum
9	penalty when they come to the hearing based on
10	mitigating circumstances we then can reduce that fine.
11	In this case I reduced it myself because I thought he
12	did show some contrition but that does hot negate the
13	difficulties and the possible safety issues involved
14	with him being as disruptive as he was on that airliner.
15	THE COURT: One last question, is it a fair
16	statement to say that you have, as we sit here today, no
17	knowledge, whatsoever, of respondent's financial
18	circumstances and his ability to pay a \$7,300 penalty?
19	THE WITNESS: That is true.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	MR. ELLIS: That is all I have for this
22	witness.

1	THE COURT: Do you want to examine?
2	MR. GROEN: I would like to be on the
3	record. I would like to go on the record for a moment
4	if I may. I would like to acknowledge the exhibits
5	submitted by Mr. Ellis to the Court. If I might ask the
6	witness just one or two questions.
7	One is a factual one hoping that the
8	witness might be able to itemize the incidents with the
9	actual fines that total 7200 (sic) dollars. That would
10	give me insight in the actual events and circumstances
11	that are alleged in the action.
12	MR. ELLIS: Objection that is a deliberative
13	process, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Well, I can't rule on your
15	objection until the question is asked.
16	MR. ELLIS: I am sorry, I thought it was
17	finished.
18	THE COURT: I didn't hear the question. You
19	said you were going to question him on the subject of
20	MR. GROEN: I would like to know if the
21	witness could identify the dollar amount individually
22	that totaled \$7,200 (sic) in the total amount with the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 total incident or incidents that produced that sort of 2 fine or number so I can assess what the facts and circumstances were in these incidents. 3 4 I have a report here which I appreciate but the additional information I have not had, otherwise I 5 6 have nothing that helps me or gives insight as to 7 incident, the gravity of the seriousness of it and the dollar amount associated with that. 8 9 If I may, I would if I were to go to the 10 store and buy something I would know the total amount 11 but I would certainly like to know what itemized costs 12 are for items or things that totaled the entire amount. 13 That would be extremely helpful if we could have that on 14 the record. Second --15 Well, do you want him to take THE COURT: you through the FAA regulation, excuse me, not 16 17 regulation, the FAA policy statement that specifies how 18 penalty assessments are to be calculated? 19 MR. GROEN: Whatever version --20 THE COURT: I am sure he can do that. 21 MR. GROEN: Whatever version he is 22 comfortable with. Short of that I don't know how it is

1	other than pulling a number out of the air which I am
2	sure the witness did not do in assessing Mr. Rodriguez
3	with that fine.
4	MR. ELLIS: Objection. That is a
5	deliberative process. The inspector is not the one who
6	has to make that calculation.
7	THE COURT: I'll overrule that objection.
8	This goes on at every hearing. And he's fair game to be
9	asked how using regulation 2150.3 he came up with the
10	number.
11	MR. ELLIS: My objection is also based on
12	the fact its not only a regulation at work when it comes
13	to the calculation of penalties but the statute itself
14	which is not a part of the sanction guidance.
15	THE COURT: Well, if he didn't use 2150.3
16	then it's up to him to tell me that.
17	MR. ELLIS: The issue is not whether he used
18	it, its whether the administrator used it. It is not the
19	inspector himself that makes the decision.
20	THE COURT: No, sir, I am the administrator
21	here. I have to decide, that's right.
22	MR. ELLIS: That is not what I mean.

1	THE COURT: The administrator is not the
2	enforcement staff. If you don't believe that Mr. Ellis,
3	I can find the provision of the Administrative Procedure
4	Act that says that.
5	MR. ELLIS: I am not trying to argue that we
6	have final authority on what the sanction should be.
7	What I am saying is when there is suggestion of civil
8	penalties in civil penalty matters it is a step above
9	the inspector where decision is made on that. We can
10	propose, of course, but your Honor, has complete
11	authority to agree or disagree with that.
12	THE COURT: Quite correct, Mr. Ellis. But I
13	understood him to say that 7300 dollars is that the
14	right number?
15	THE WITNESS: 7300.
16	THE COURT: 7300 is the number he
17	recommended too. So he could be, he can ask questions
18	dealing with his recommendation. So we're back to square
19	one Inspector Okan. You need to tell us how you got this
20	number.
21	THE WITNESS: The guidance as provided in
22	compliance enforcement states maximum, minimum, middle

1	fine and minimum fine to be assessed based on the
2	severity of the events.
3	THE COURT: Which one did you use?
4	THE WITNESS: I am sorry.
5	THE COURT: Which one did you use?
6	THE WITNESS: 2150.3. They authorized for
7	a minimum
8	THE COURT: Did you consider minimum/
9	maximum?
	maximum:
10	THE WITNESS: Maximum amount of a thousand
11	dollars per event. Under the fines enforcement
12	regulation, the violation against individuals on an
13	aircraft in flight can be assessed based on how many
14	times the individual violated that regulation.
15	It can be as much as, say, if the
16	individual did five events or six events, each could be
17	assessed a fine of \$1,000 per event.
18	Or you can assess a single event of value
19	based on what severity of the event is. The level of
20	fines have been increased substantially, especially
21	since 911 to go all the way to \$25,000. That can be per
22	event.
1	

1	I feel that is way beyond what took place
2	here; and so, the three event, that were recorded on the
3	aircraft, was sufficient to address each event
4	individually with a fine of \$2,000.
5	THE COURT: Go ahead.
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. GROEN:
7	Q. I appreciate the information you provided
8	sir. When you say the events that occurred were not way
9	beyond what the maximum would be, I am assuming you know
10	specifically what those events are to make that
11	determination? So what I would ask, how many violations
12	were there, sir?
13	A. Three specific ones.
14	Q. May I finish. It is a three part question.
15	You may be able to answer this all at once for brevity
16	sake.
17	How many violations occurred; what were the
18	violations and what was the degree of severity of each
19	of those violations?
20	A. They
21	MR. ELLIS: Objection, calls for a legal
22	conclusion, your Honor.

1 MR. GROEN: May I, your Honor, in response to Mr. Ellis's objection this is a financial not legal 2 determination and I believe based on our witnesses 3 4 presence here and the only witness Mr. Ellis has 5 provided that he would be the one who would be able to 6 unravel this for us. 7 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Mr. Ellis, this is fair cross examination for your man. 8 And, well, all right. Number one is disruption of 9 10 passengers. What's Number two? 11 THE WITNESS: Again a second events of 12 disrupting the passengers, actually touching the 13 individuals, physically touching that borders on 14 assault. 15 The third event was the actual throwing of the bag at the flight attendant. That is assault. Under 16 the current status, that's mandatory \$25,000 fine, just 17 18 the assault itself by itself. 19 I felt and I have had cases where in the 20 past, where flight attendants have been injured and I 21 have assessed a \$25,000 fine. 22 I didn't feel the bag issue, although it is

1	technically an assault event, was not sufficient enough
2	to impose the maximum fine for that one event alone. So
3	I felt that the overall fine was commensurate with what
4	took place.
5	THE COURT: Go ahead.
6	Q. Again, I am just a little concerned that I
7	don't have a dollar amount per event. If that
8	information could be provided and, of course, I am
9	having a little bit more information and I can move to
10	my next question.
11	A. Well
12	MR. ELLIS: Move to strike. It's not a
13	question.
14	THE COURT: That's not really a question.
15	Q. Sir, would you please provide information
16	to myself and the Court as to what event occurred and
17	the violation imposed per event, please.
18	MR. ELLIS: Objection asked and answered.
19	A. I have to review
20	MR. ELLIS: Objection.
21	MR. GROEN: I didn't get a specific dollar
22	amount.

1	THE COURT: I'll let him answer that.
2	Inspector, can you break this down and give us a dollar
3	amount per event? How much do you think disruption of
4	the passenger should cost him? How much, you said
5	physical touching?
6	THE WITNESS: Physically touching took
7	place technically is assault.
8	THE COURT: I'll decide that. I have some
9	questions. And finally throwing the bag which you said
10	was the major matter. Could you give us a how much for
11	disruption of passengers?
12	THE WITNESS: Again, we don't assess
13	individual events as this is worth \$1,200, that is worth
14	\$5,000 et cetera, unless an issue like assault takes
15	place.
16	In the case of Mr. Rodriguez, he several
17	times during the flight accosted passengers by
18	physically grabbing them, touching them; this happened,
19	three, or four times, I think, until he was taken away
20	and removed from the vicinity of those passengers.
21	I would say 20, I can't remember \$2,300 a
22	piece for the three events. What is the reaching issue

1	above that, again, I have no control over, because I
2	don't have authority; I am just not aware of their if
3	they will issue higher fines that I assessed but
4	MR. GROEN: I am sorry, I don't mean to
5	interrupt the Court.
6	THE COURT: Are you done?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Ask your next question.
9	Q. I am concerned and confused at the same
10	time. If we're able to determine that 1,000 dollars per
11	event was the maximum amount that was assessed against
12	Mr. Rodriguez there should be some criteria for
13	establishing \$1,000. So, if the case, if it was half as
14	much of a violation, if I could refer to it that way,
15	then it would have been \$3,500?
16	I am wondering what it is that helped you,
17	sir, in identifying that this should be the maximum
18	amount and a thousand dollars was that amount and what
19	it was about the specific action that caused you to
20	conclude that it would be a thousand dollars?
21	A. The potential
22	MR. ELLIS: Objection, compound question.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. 1 2 The potential assault events that took Α. place, personal assaults, he personally grabbed people, 3 he threw the bag at the flight attendant. That is 4 5 assault. And that warrants by itself, a \$25,000 fine. If I were to assess that, I would have. I 6 7 felt that under the circumstances no injuries were incurred, so, I didn't feel the maximum penalty but a 8 9 penalty has to assessed. I do understand that you could have but you 10 11 did not assess him on the basis of assault. 12 So, I can't guess at what happened and what 13 your logic was. I can go by what you said it was and 14 wasn't. And the fine was not assessed for assault. So my 15 concern is the difference between touching --16 MR. ELLIS: Objection. Counsel is developing 17 and again I have to object on the grounds he is asking 18 the witness for legal conclusions. The inspector does 19 not do that, cannot do that. Does not have that 20 authority. 21 I am not asking for a legal MR. GROEN: 22 conclusion I am trying to understand what the touching

was. If you haven't met Mr. Rodriguez you don't know his size, his demeanor, the tenor of his personality, his voice, his intonation. It is very difficult for me to understand how our witness today can determine he used a loud and harassing voice or was forceful as opposed to handing a bag over with enthusiasm or whether or not it was with anger and frustration.

THE COURT: You have gone about as far as you can go on cross examination. I understand what he knows and doesn't know. And that's all stuff you can argue about in your closing statements. Or in a brief.

But you can't argue with him about it. And you can't, you know, if you want to make a case that he has not sufficiently defined the parameters of his discretion, that's a case you can make, but he's not going to say he did that.

Now, you know, Inspector, I'm interested in what you think the physical touching was. As I read these reports, which is all I have got to go on, all you have got to go on, the only statement that deals with that is the statement of the A. Flight attendant Chris Watson. He or she.

2.

1	THE WITNESS: Under the first exhibit.
2	THE COURT: He or she, I don't know if he
3	is a male or female.
4	THE WITNESS: Under the first exhibit
5	there's a statement that he grabbed the mother's arm of
6	some children he was playing with.
7	THE COURT: He grabs mother's hands and
8	commented loudly about her rings. That's it. So, we
9	don't know, whether she was offended by this or whether
10	she invited this, by showing him her rings or anything
11	else about that interaction.
12	THE WITNESS: This is all I can go by.
13	THE COURT: All we know, all we know is that
14	the A. Flight attendant interpreted this interaction as
15	being the reason for reseating this fellow.
16	THE WITNESS: Correct.
17	MR. ELLIS: I didn't hear what you said.
18	THE COURT: All we know is that the A.
19	Flight attendant thought that whatever he did was the
20	reason for reseating. That's the only piece of
21	information we have. Okay. Anything else? You may exam
22	if you want to.

1	MR. GROEN: The other difficulty I am having
2	is tying events to dollar amounts. I am just a little
3	bit at sea here how it is we establish, after the
4	government's witness testified, a reliable dollar amount
5	that has some sort of integrity. That would be
6	appropriate for the incident that we're having trouble
7	defining.
8	I am at loss as to where to go at this
9	point. If I might have a moment to speak with Mr. Ellis
10	before I conclude I would like to do that your Honor.
11	THE COURT: What about Mr. Ellis? I am
12	sorry?
13	MR. GROEN: Might I have an opportunity to
14	approach the bench and communicate with Mr. Ellis and
15	yourself? I would like to do that before I conclude.
16	THE COURT: Well, come on up. What's your
17	problem?
18	MR. GROEN: Not a problem. Hoping to find
19	some way out of a situation which seems to be difficult
20	and undefinable and to do justice at the same time your
21	Honor. I don't know after Mr. Ellis concluded putting
22	his case on, if he is considering or permitted to

1	provide any additional information when we meet the next
2	time.
3	THE COURT: Additional information, the
4	time for that is long since passed. The time for
5	discovery is over.
6	MR. GROEN: I would have thought the same.
7	THE COURT: So this is it.
8	MR. GROEN: In this regard, hoping to save
9	time for the Court and all parties concerned if Mr.
10	Ellis and I were to communicate some sort of settlement
11	amount that would accurately reflect the lack of
12	information we may have to arrive at an appropriate
13	number, if he and I could agree on that I am hoping we
14	might be able to conclude the matter today without
15	having to return?
16	THE COURT: As we say in my business
17	settlements are next to godliness. We always like
18	settlements.
19	But you are going to have to deal with Mr.
20	Ellis on that. The FAA does settle cases. And that's all
21	I can tell you.
22	MR. GROEN: Which is why I asked for a

1	moment if I can confer with Mr. Ellis before I conclude.
2	THE COURT: Well, okay.
3	MR. ELLIS: No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: It's not going to do you any
5	good now. You are going to have to talk to your client
6	before you settle this case. And as you cannot reach
7	your client, the possibility of settlement vanishes and
8	I suspect that in the circumstances of this case Mr.
9	Ellis is going to insist on cash on the barrel here.
10	So, you have got a long road to hoe. You
11	better do it after we close today.
12	MR. GROEN: Okay. My intention,
13	specifically, was to bring Mr. Rodriguez some
14	information that might allow us to expedite the
15	proceedings so I don't have to go to him and back to Mr.
16	Ellis and then back again to Mr. Rodriguez.
17	THE COURT: You have to communicate,
18	however you can communicate with him.
19	MR. GROEN: Will do.
20	THE COURT: And I really have nothing to
21	say on that point except that you need to A, be willing
22	to settle and B, to be willing to pay an amount that is

1	acceptable to the government, and C, actually to come up
2	with the cash and pay it. So you have a way to go. And
3	after we close this session today, you can work on it.
4	All right.
5	MR. GROEN: May I make one concluding
6	statement?
7	THE COURT: Mr. Ellis do you want to make
8	closing statements now or wait until later.
9	MR. ELLIS: Since you have given Mr.
10	Rodriguez another opportunity to appear before you I
11	would wait until then to make my closing argument.
12	THE COURT: That's fine, Mr. Ellis.
13	Have you, counsel, concluded your
14	examination?
15	MR. GROEN: No, but as, on the record, I
16	feel compelled as Mr. Rodriguez's attorney at this point
17	to make a motion to dismiss the matter in light of the
18	fact that the government has produced insufficient
19	information and evidence to support their claim of \$7200
20	(sic).
21	THE COURT: Your motion is denied because
22	that train has left the station already. I issued an

1	order holding your client liable. And there is going to
2	be some kind of an assessment against him.
3	If the government's evidence is deemed
4	insufficient, I'll work it out based on whatever other
5	material I have in the record.
6	MR. GROEN: As his legal representative I
7	was compelled to make that motion. Now I am ready to
8	get off the record.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. GROEN: Thank you, sir.
11	MR. ELLIS: Is he still on cross?
12	THE COURT: Any redirect?
13	MR. ELLIS: Yes, I do.
14	THE COURT: Please proceed.
15	2 MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, I was going to wait
16	until closing to get into some of the issues and the
17	statements since the issue was raised on cross regarding
18	the touching that went on under the statute. I do want
19	to bring out one part of the record.
20	Exhibit, Government Exhibit Two, if the
21	witness would please take a look at that and let me know
22	when he has found it.

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLIS:
3	Q. If you look at page three of that exhibit
4	please read the paragraph about four up from the bottom
5	the sentence about four pages up?
6	A. "As I was handing out my drinks, he
7	crumbled up an emesis bag and threw it at me. He also
8	threw his peanut and snack wrappers in my direction
9	after pouring their contents on the floor and tray
10	table."
11	Q. Would you consider those actions, when you
12	proposed a civil penalty?
13	A. Yes.
14	MR. ELLIS: That's all, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Recross?
16	MR. GROEN: Just one question, your Honor.
17	EXAMINATION BY MR. GROEN:
18	Q. Again, just for clarity sake, which of
19	those items that were just presented to you and you had
20	read to us, did you consider and in what way in
21	assessing the fine?
22	MR. ELLIS: Objection. Asked and answered.

	THE COURT: Overruled. Answer the question.
2	A. This was the event where he threw the bag
3	and contents at the flight attendant.
4	Q. That was a plastic wrapper of a peanut bag?
5	A. No, it was not. It was the in the airline
6	in every pocket, there is a bag that can be used for
7	people, a sick bag. This is what he threw at the flight
8	attendant. I don't know if there was anything in it or
9	not but he did throw that bag.
10	Q. That bag is a paper bag?
11	A. It is an waxed paper bag I think it has a
12	metal tie at the top of it.
13	Q. I was also referring he also threw his
14	peanut and snack wrapper?
15	A. That was the second thing. He also threw a
16	peanut and snack wrapper.
17	Q. One at a time, please. He threw his peanut
18	and snack wrapper?
19	A. That's a plastic cellophane wrapper. I
20	don't know what that is.
21	Q. I don't know either. I am asking you the
22	witness?

1	A. I don't know what they had.
2	Q. So, you don't know what force it was thrown
3	or what impact it had?
4	A. I don't see that as being material at this
5	point. It was the act itself.
6	Q. So, it was actually an expression of his
7	frustration and not necessarily the contents you were
8	focusing on in establishing the violation?
9	A. That is part of it.
10	Q. Did you have any information provided to
11	you, because I don't see that in the paperwork, of any
12	antecedent events, provacative behavior of the flight
13	attendants prior to this?
14	A. Negative.
15	Q. Were you aware or do you know that the male
16	flight attendant had made sexual passes or
17	MR. ELLIS: Objection.
18	THE COURT: Your objection is sustained.
19	I am not going to let you go there. You
20	have no basis for that and we are on recross.
21	All you can ask him about is throwing the
22	throw up bag.
	1

1	MR. GROEN: I simply asked because I see
2	what is here. I am not seeing what is not here and that
3	I had information about. Okay. Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Inspector Okan thank you for
5	coming. You are excused, sir.
6	If there is nothing further we need to say,
7	this hearing will be in recess until December 14th,
8	2006.
9	MR. ELLIS: Same time, your Honor?
10	THE COURT: Same time, same place subject
11	only to modification if we can't get the facility here.
12	I am not any more happy about it then the
13	Government is, but I have got to do what I can to assure
14	that the respondent gets a fair and full opportunity to
15	be heard.
16	MR. GROEN: May I assume at this point Mr.
17	Ellis representing the Government has provided any and
18	all information he has available on this matter?
19	THE COURT: You may not assume that. We
20	have discovery rules. If discovery was not sort in
21	accordance with the discovery rules you are out of luck.
22	It's just like the Federal Rules of Civil

1 Procedure. If you didn't ask, you don't get. 2 MR. GROEN: Now any additional information 3 may be brought to bear the next time we meet? 4 THE COURT: What we are going to do the next 5 time we meet, let me be very precise about this. We're going to give Mr. Rodriguez the opportunity, under oath, 6 to tell us why he believes that the amount sought by the 7 FAA, 7300 dollars, is too severe a civil penalty. And 8 9 that is it. That is the limited issue we are hearing. 10 MR. GROEN: Thank you, your Honor. 11 This portion of the hearing is THE COURT: concluded. 12 13 MR. ELLIS: Thank you, your Honor. 14 (Time Noted: 11:10 a.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in the matter of: Joaquin Rodriguez

Before:

The Honorable Isaac D. Benkin

Date:

October 24, 2006

Place:

U.S. Federal District Court Central Islip, New York

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

Sandy Tankoos

FAXED buil

_				
Ī		USE ONI	Y:	
-	RECD	IN BASE	WCO	3/
_		DATE:		
	RECD	HDQ:		
	C: 31	cohol-		
	FAA	self.	discl	920
_		5- 706	2	



MAR 9 7 2005

Inflight Irregularity Report.

This form MUST be submi	TIED TO AN INFL	ight base within 24	HOURS OF THE	E INCIDENT.
		XES THAT APPLY.		
TODAY'S DATE EVENT DATE F	LIGHT#	AIRCRAFT TAIL #	DEPARTURE	ARRIVAL CITY
04 mar 05 04 mar 05	147	N7055W	CHY ISP	
	OURSIGNATURE		6/74C	1
Chris Watson	JEN [I] JEN		(0)770	, , = , (3.)
OTHER FLIGHT ATTENDANTS: NAME: Daniel Schnebelen	Posti	TON: A B C BA	USE MCO	Employee #: 55464
NAME: Amy Longton	Posn	ION: A B C BA	SE MCC	Employee # 35826
I HAVE NO ENOWIEDGE/DID NOT	WITNESS THE EVE	AI (R KON CHECKED 114R)	ox, stop here, eacl	AIN ON THE BACK, & SURMIT)
Phase of Flight:		LOCATION OF EVE	NT:	
	anding ther:	GalleyPW	1D) 6 24A D _AFT D _AFT	☐ Jetbxidge ☐ Other
Bodily Fluid Dep Cabin Prep (Emergency) Div Company Policy Violation Em Crew Illness/Injury* Dep Customer Inconvenience* Dep			☐ Saf	- · · ·
QUIPMENT INVOLVED: AED	Aid Kit light s : Excluguisher Excluguisher	☐ MedLink Radio F ☐ Overwing Exit ☐ PBE ☐ POB _FWD _MII ☐ PPE ☐ Red Cabin Clean	Fadace D	Restraints Stairs Wheelchair (onboard) Others
ASSENGER(S) DIRECTLY INVOLVED: Name Jogguin Rodyigi		Name:	REC	EIVED
Address SON BOCOARD DOWN	e in a single			
State/Zip: N. Shirley - NV	11967	City/State/Zipy_L_		L Lund in
Phone		Phose.	HDQ	Inflight
ver License #: ID 135 767.132 D.O.	E.: 01-03-57	Driver License #:		DOS
ument witnesses and additional comments on the re 0 (Ser. 09/03)	and the second second	OF PROOFPAGE		BOVERNING BOWER

· •	
DICAL ASSISTANCE:	CONTACT INFORMATION FOR ASSISTING QUALIFIED MEDICAL PERSONNEL:
MedLink Connected? YES/NO	Name
□ DO □ PA □ None Needed	Address:
□ EMT , □ RN □ None Available	City/Smte/Zip:
OW D	/. Phone:
☐ Other	Certification/License #:
WITNESSES:	73
Name Al Falussia	., Name:
Address: 494 Rt ///	Address:
City/State/Zip: Smithuille, NV 11787	City/State/Zip:
Phone: 63/ 24-8738	Phone:
Name: John O'shea (Dad in Samily)	Name:
Address: 164 S. Centre Ave.	Address:
City/State/Zip! Rochille Contre NY 11570	City/State/Zip:
Phone 516 766-0756	Phone:
hands and commented loudly about quietly asked him to remain quiet him could rest. When speaking closely I returned to the jumpseat the con All f/n's discussed the situation and rear of the aircraft. The C F/n ask would be willing to move to the fin acreed and Mr Rodriguez reluction of the situation and I remain	himself in the middle of a family. On I loud and annoying not-only to the him. He kept an pleying with the play with him. He graphed the Mothers her rings. I left the jump seat and and to himself so that the PAK amund to him I smalled alcahol. He agreed and tinued to be very laid and annoying agreed to move Mr. Rudrigues to the cost a couple in the 24th row if they the moved. The captain was notified ned in the front of the aircraft.
monitoring the charzone, for the	C (0 C)
, , ,	The state of the s
	MCO. We were taken to MCO Police
Station and internatived by Orlande	Po and the FAL
OUR SUPERVISOR'S NAME: Sonya Williams	
	RECEIPED.
Inflight Base Fax Numbers*:	RECEIVED
BWI 410.981.1585 MCO 407.825.7653 PHX	602.286.3775 M/AR 2 1 2003
DAL 214.792.5663 MDW 773.884.3277	المام
F. U 713.845.2251 OAK 510.563.1152	HDQ Infilates

OAX 510.563.1152

FIS THIS FORM IS SENT VIA FAX, THE ORIGINAL MUST BE SUBMITTED TO A BASE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THE END OF THE PAIRING

OFFICE USE ONLY: ORIGINAL HDQ COPY-BASE COPY-EXECUTIVE OFFICE

	OFFICE USE ON		VMA 15 11 16 UV.		PAXED LA PROPERTIES NAME OF 2905	B. Tot	al Pages i	7/0 1.	P.05 Polie Extensi
i	This for	em MUST be sub			ight base within 2 Xes that apply.	OK t	jrs of the in		
i	TODAY'S DATE	EVENT DATE	PLIGHT#		AIRCRAFT TAIL #		ARTURE	ARRI	VAL CITY
	05 Mar 05	DY Mar 05	. 147		705 SW	CIT	x asp	^	160
ļ	Your Name (PRI	אז)	YOUR SIGN	ATURE			EMPLOYEE#	BASE	POSTTION
	Schnebell		D9	各とよー			55464	MCO	A B C
	OTHER FLIGHT ATTENDANTS: NAME: Watson, Chris Position: B & C Base: Mco Employee #: 61740 NAME: Le puta Amy R. Position: A & O Base: Mco Employee #: 35826								
-									
Ì	I I HAVE NO ENOVLEDGE/DID NOT WITNESS THE EVENT (IF YOU CHECKED THIS BOX, STOP HERE, EXPLAIN ON THE DACK, SE SUPPRI)								
	Phase of Plight: Location of Event:								
		Climb D Cruise D Descent Approach	Landing Others.		Galley Seat 1	P	••	Orper Terping	[c

□ Fire

on Interference

OFFICE USE ONLY: ORIGINAL HIDO COPY-RASE COPY-EXCEUTIVE OFFICE

ITEM OF PROOF ___

PBE

☐ PPE

Illness

Injury*

MedLink Parch

Mired Approach

MedLink Radio Headset

Red Cabin Clean-Up Bag

Name: Address:

Phone

PAGE

City/State/Zlp:

Overwing Exit

POB _ FWD

Misconduce?

Provisioning

Rejected Takeoff

Security (Threat Level 1

crew members

Wheelchair Caboard)

Unauthorized Use of PED*

Safety-related

Smoking

Other*

Turbulence?

☐ Restraints

Stairs

Other:

Delay/Cancellation*

Emergency Landing

Equipment Failure

*Please indicate the specific nature of above event if indicated with ? Or if not listed:

FAR Violation[®]

Depressuization

Diversion to

Evacuation

First Aid Kir

Halon Extinguisher

D.O.B.: UNKNOW

H₂O Excinguisher

Flashlight

Gloves

□ Megaphorie

Prohibition

EVENT:

D ARD

[] EMK

CPR Mark

D Escape Sligle

City/State/Zip:

12-550 (Rox 09/0)

EPMK (Serial

Alcohol-relaced*

Cabin Prop (Emergency)

Crew Illness/Lajury

F.A.R 121,580 ~

EQUIPMENT INVOLVED:

☐ Biobazard Clean-Up Kit

PASSENGER(S) DIRECTLY INVOLVED:

Driver Licenser #: LLKKYOLOW

Rodriguez

Document ultresses and additional comments on the reverse side.

D . Denied Boarding

☐ Customer Inconvenience

Company Policy Violation

D Bodily Fluid

FAXED

<u> </u>	MAR_n s 2005			
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE:	CONTACT INFORMATION FOR ASSISTING QUALIFIED			
	MEDICAL PERSONNEL:			
MedLick Conferred? YES/NO	Name: ·			
DO PA D Nope Needed	Address:			
☐ EMT ☐ RN ☐ None Available	Ciry/Seate/Zip:			
□ km/	Phose			
□ Ogner	Certification/Licenty #:			
WITNESSES:				
Name: Falussy, Al	Name:			
Address 494 Route 111	Address;			
City/State/Zipi Smithwille NY 1178	City/State/Zip:			
Phone: 631-241- 9739	Phone:			
Name Dishea John	Name:			
Address 164 3. Centre Avenu	(E) Address			
City/smarzip: Rockville Cextre NY V	570 Ciry/State/Zip			
Phone 516-766-0756	Phone			
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (USE THIS SECTION TO	provide information only on what you saw, heard,			
DID, OR SAID. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO REPEAT WH	at you have already indicated):			
About 18-20 minutes past our	scheduled pushback and while			
still climbing I heard the				
three passengers seated				
heard Army ack them if the	y usuld not mind mounta			
and baing reseated because	e we had to move a passenger			
from up front Amy then s	tepped into the buck galled			
to inform me that a pass				
obnoxious and he appeare				
passengers in 23 A Band	C agreed to help us out and			
be reseated I assisted Am	y and our three passenaers			
to the front of the aircrat	T About III is in its answering			
A-101-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01	t. Amy then initiated a			
conversation with Mr. Joaque				
seated in seat 4D. She's	requested that he walk to			
the back galley with us				
informed Ur. Rodriquez				
Livefused to cooperate and u	wanted to go back to conty			
YOUR SUPERVISOR'S NAME:	0			
Jim Mitchell	RECEPTED			
	THE IT			
Inflight Base Fax Numbers*:	MAR 2 1 2005			
to short	HX 602.286.3775			
DAL 214.792.5663 MDW 773.884.3277	HDQ Inflight			
MOU 713,845.2251 OAK 510.563,1152				

°IP THIS FORM IS CENT VIA FAX, THE CRIGINAL MUST BE SUBMITTED TO A BASE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THE END OF THE PAIRING

office use only, oniginal and copy base copy executive office

FAXET

MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	CONTACT DIFORMATION FOR ASSISTING QUALIFIED
MEDICAL PROMOTERIOR	MEDICAL PERSONNEL:
Medlink Contacted? YES/NO	Names
	Address:
DO PA Done Needed DEMT RN Dene Available	Cicy/Serre/Zipi
D 700	Phone:
Other	Certification/License #:
WITNESSES:	
Neme:	Nama:
Vegetiesk The Teacher The Teacher Teac	Address:
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
· Phone:	Phone:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City/State/Zip:	Clty/State/Zlp:
Phone:	Рьове
A NAMED NATIONAL PRICE THAT SECTION TO DE	ovide information only on what you saw, heard,
DID, OR SAID. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO REPEAT WHAT	VOITHAVE AT READY INDICATED):
	he had no choice and he had to
Sit alone on Row 230 H	e then stated that he was
going to sue me Amy and	Southwest Airliner for
disenvination for recentin	a him While having this
discussion T noted a "	school of alcahal from his
break. His goed was slu	x 20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -
Divide The Store Was Six	The state of the s
	devied any consumption of
alcohol when taking drike o	rders he requested a
Turn and coke. I devied h	in alcohal stating the captain
ordered he hat board or his	pased on promise behavior
The solution of the solution of the	or the second se
the ordered a coke and by	pressor his cusquet in my
	As I was handling out my
drinks, he crumbled up an	emetis bug and threw it
at pil. He also threw his	peanut and Snack Wagger
In Amy and I's direction	A
In the floor and tray to	ble While collecting trash
YOUR SUPERVISOR'S NAME:	
100000FERTIONS TRAVE.	Continued
Inflight Base Fax Numbers:	RECEIVED
BWI 410.981.1585 MCO 407.825.7653 PH	/L
DAL 214.792.5663 MDW 773.884.3277	MAR 2 1 2005
HOU 713.845.2251 OAK 510,563.1152	~ · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

HDQ INTIGIAL TO A BASE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THE END OF THE PAIRING

OFFICE USE ONLY: ORIGINAL HID COPY-BASE COPY-EXECUTIVE OFFICE

MAR 0 8 2005

	, 40 2003
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE:	CONFACT INFORMATION FOR ASSISTING QUALIFIED
MedLink Connected YES/NO	MEDICAL PERSONNEL:
D DO D PA D None Norded	Name: Address;
DENT DRN Dens Available	City/State/Zip:
□ MD . / .	. Phone:
· Other	Confloation/License #:
Witnesses:	The same of the sa
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City/State/Zipi .	Cky/Saxe/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address
City/State/Zip:	City/Sate/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (USE THIS SECTION TO PROT	VIDE INFORMATION ONLY ON WHAT YOU SAY, HEARD.
THE THE THE PART OF THE PART WHAT Y	OU HAVE ALREADY INDICATED):
and doing seconds he request	ted that I git down and
	over slighty and he
pointed in my face and so	
	toted "Willow we get on
to vick your fucking o	155. I then informed him
that his comment would	how require that orlando
Louce Department most us	upm arrival and it
	H /
Situation He then would	
as Maria to 1 Control of the	walk who the back
galley and apologiesed wer	y test whates. I experienced
no further problems with	Mr. Rodriguez durno ye
Hight: Man landing al	
sists all cool audeil un	a seplanny he stated
	Rodriguez was met by
DC = 1-10	Telice Department I was
escorted with my crew from	the aircrapt to the louis
Ltor lieux drop and then	all Colins
	of force rat from lands
YOUR SUPERVISOR'S NAME:	
	continued
Inflight Base Fax Numbers:	RECEIVED
BWI 410.981.1585 MCO 407.825.7653 PHX	(00 0B) +
DAL 214.792.5663 MDW 773.884.3277	602.286,37/3 M/R 2 1 2003
OU 713.845.2251 OAK 510.563.1152	
	HDQ Infligist
*IP THE FORM IS SENT VIA PAX, THE ORIGINAL MUST BE SUBMITTED TO A !	BASE WITHIN 14 HOYERS OF THE BUD OF THE

in 24 hours of the end of the fairing

OFFICE USE ONLY: ORIGINAL HIDQ COFY-BASE COPYEXECUTIVE OFFICE

MAR 6 8 2005

MEDICAL ASSISTANCES	CONTACT INFORMATION FOR ASSISTING QUALIFIED
2	MEDICAL PERSONNEL!
MedLink Cograced YES/NO	Name:
DO PA Defen Needed DEMT DEN Devoue Available	Address
	· Clay/Strate/Zip:
/_ MD /.	Phone
Other /	Certification/License #:
WITNESSES:	Namol
Name	
Address:	Address:
Ciry/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip:
Phose:	Phone:
Name:	Name:
Address	Address:
City/State/Zip;	Ciry/Stars/Zip:
Phones	Phone:
being questioned and write attached my crew and car to the employee of by Andy in Crew school	arking lot and released
TAL 214.792.5663 MDW 773.884.3277	RECEIVED MAR 2 1 2005
HOU 713.845.2251 OAK 510.563.1152	HDQ Inflight
. Dr. welle being a granding, many on the object of action and approximate	

'MAR 31 200 MAK. /j. /	35 16:10 FR 10055 9:34AMM	SHA CMO	214 277 02 	299 TO 9140781 NU.	127720 P.10 J/406 r. y/j
OFFICE TION ON	ν.				TAXED & Lon B
RECD IN BASE. DISTA DATE:	1140 3/05/	20			AR 9.7 2005
RECT HDQ:		• •			
		Inflight I	rregularity.Re	port.	
		minght i	iloguidate, ilo	Pozor	
Thus FO	RM MUST BE SUI		ight base within 24	HOURS OF THE IN	CIDENT.
TODAY'S DATE	EVENT DATE	CHECK ALL BO	XES THAT APPLY. AIRCRAFT TAIL #	DEPARTURE	ARRIVAL CITY
3/4/05	3/4/05	147		CTTY ZSP	mcc)
YOUR NAME (PRI		Your Signature	705	EMPLOYEE #	BASE POSITION
	1077E	Amy R.	outo-	35826	mcd A B (C)
OTHER FLIGHT A	ITENDANTS:		<u> </u>		
NAME: Chris					PLOYEE #: 6/740 PLOYEE #: 55464
NAME: DANIE/			NI (PYOU CHECKED THUS		
PHASE OF FLIGHT)1.WIINESS IMEEAE	LOCATION OF EVE		or the arch of lossilly
		Landing			Jethridge
☐ Pushback [d Cruise 🛘	Other:	B Galley FWI	D_AFT	Other
	Descent Approach		D Lavatory FWI	DAFT	
Lveni;					
J Alcohol-related		Delay/Concellation*	□ Pire □ Illaess*	□ Rejects □ Safety-	
I Bodily Pluid I Cabin Prep (Emer		Depressurization Diversion to	□ Injury*		y* (Threat Level _/)
Company Policy	Tiolation 🛘	Emergency Landing	☐ MedLink Pare	ch 🗆 Smokir	Jg St
Crew Illness/Injur		Equipment Failure* Evacuation	☐ Misconduct [≥] ☐ Missed Appro		encerusized Use of PED=
Denied Boarding		FAR Violation*	☐ Provisioning∺	□ Other*	
FLEASE INDICATE I	HE SPECIFIC NA	TORE OF ABOVE EVE	NT IF INDICATED WIT	H - OK IL NOT TIST	ED:
DISTURBANCE	and . thes	HENEO BF/	A w/ physical	hARM	
QUIPMENT INVOL		rst Aid Kit	- 16 W. I. 20 K. 20	v 1	
J AED J Biohazard Clean-U		rst Ma An 25hlight	MedLink Radio H Overwing Exit	leadser 🗀 Ker 🗆 Stai	rs rsinz
CPR Mask		loves	D PBE	□ Wh	eelchzir (onboard)
I EMK I EEMK (Serial #		alon Extinguisher O Extinguisher	D POB FVD MID)_AFT 🗓 Oth	er:
Escape Slide		skipore	Red Cabin Clean-1	Up Bag	,
ASSENGER(S) DIRE	TLY INVOLVED:				
Name: JO Address: 53		riguez.	Name:		
Address: 200		UY 11.967	Address: City/State/Zip:	···	IVED
Phone:			Phone:		1 3-77
-i	r	OR.	Dimentions #		

200 (Rev. 09/03)

ITEM OF PROOF 3 PAGE 10 OF 2

BOVERNMENT EXHIBIT *MAR 31 2005 16:10 FR THA CMO 214 277 0257 TO 914078127720 P.11 MAK. 23. 2005 9:34AMM SWA IKKEGULAKITIES25-/653 NO. 1/4VO r. 10/2

Inflight Irregularity Report (Side 2)

JEDICAL ASSISTANCE	<u>R.</u> .	CONTACT INFORMAT	TON FOR ASSISTING QUALIFIED
Medlink Contracted? . Y	es/no	,	
	PA D None Needed	Address	
	RN 🗆 None Available	City/States talp:	
□ MD	•	· Phone: Certification/License #:	
Other	· : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
WIINESSES:	mal		
Name: A/	F HIUSSY		
Address: 404	TGNN NY 11787		
City/State/Zip: Smith	241 8738	Ciry/State/Zip:	
Phone:	071 8158	· radus:	
Toh.	achea	Name:	·
Name: TOTAL	S. CENTRE AVE	Address:	
Address: 164	or or stor 10 /15	(O' Com/Source/Zine	
City/state/2:ip: KI I K VI	IG NENTRE NY 1151	Phone:	
rhone 3/(a	766 0756	Phone:	
, ,		7	
		••	A. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
			·
		•	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			-
			<u> </u>
OUR SUPERVISOR'S NA	ME:		
Inflight Base Fax Num	homë.		RECEIVED
BWI 410.981 1585		PHX 602.286.3775	MAD a f each
AI. 214,792,5663	MDW 773.884.3277	PHX 602.286.3775	MLR 2 1 2351
_JU 713.845.2251	OAK 510,563,1152	•	HDQ Inflight

SIF THIS FORM IS SENT YIA FAX, THE ORIGINAL MUST BE SUBMITTED TO A BASE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THE END OF THE FAIRING



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration

MAY 2 4 2005

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

File Number: 2005SO290003

Mr. Joaquin Rodriguez 525 Boxwood Drive N. Shirley, NY 11967

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

This letter is to inform you that this office is investigating an incident that occurred on Southwest Airlines Flight 147, on March 4, 2005, on a flight from Islip, NY to Orlando International Airport, in Orlando, Florida.

During the aforementioned flight, you were observed to be unruly and disruptive throughout the flight.

This letter is to inform you that this incident is under investigation by the Federal Aviation Administration. We would appreciate receiving any evidence you wish to contribute regarding this matter within 10 days of receipt of this letter. Any discussion or written statements furnished by you will be given consideration in our investigation. If we do not hear from you within the specified time, our report will be processed without the benefit of your statement.

Sincerely.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Stan Okon **Aviation Safety Inspector**

Heceipt (PS Form 3811) to the article and add applicable postage to cover the fee. Endorse mailplece "Return Receipt Requested". To receive a fee waiver for a duplicate return receipt, a USPS postmark on your Certified Mail receipt is required.

■ For an additional fee, delivery may be restricted to the addressee or addressee's authorized agent. Advise the clerk or mark the mailpiece with the dorsement "Restricted Delivery".

postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is desired, please present the artice at the post office for postmarking. If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is not needed, detach and affix label with postage and mail.

IMPORTANT: Save this receipt and present it when making an inquiry.

PS Form 3800, January 2001 (Reverse) PS Form 3800, January 2001

300-14.1

102595-01-M-1047

ITEM OF PROOF

OFFICIAL FILE COPY PAGE)

#U.S.GPO:1990-0-768-012/20101

Florida Flight Standards District Offices Orlando Location, FSDO-29 Citadel International, Suite 500 5950 Hazeitine National Driv Orlando, Florida 32822 (407) 812-7700, Fax (407) 812

INITIALSISIGNATURE

DATE

ROUTING SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIGNATURE

DATE

ROUTING SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIGNATURE

DATE

ROUTING SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIGNATURE

ROUTING SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIGNATURE

